**AQD017 Module Validation form**

1. Background information

(*to be completed by the Academic team*)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Proposing school** | AAD GSBL SCDM SHSC SSSP SBEN  Other: *(write here if other)* |
| **Module code and title** |  |
| **Course to which it belongs** |  |
| **Module leader** |  |
| **First year intake** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 1** | **Background information** |
| **Provide a short rationale and context of the proposed module:** | |

1. Desk-based Validation Outcome

(*to be completed by AQD*)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Validation Date** |  | |
| **Reviewed By** | **Title and Name** | **Current Post** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 2** | **Outcome of the event** |
| The process concluded with the following outcome:   1. *Module was approved* 2. *Module was approved subject to conditions/recommendations* 3. *Module was not approved* | |
| **Section 3** | **Conclusion *(remove text based on the outcome of the event)*** |
| *For outcome A:*  The process concluded with the Panel’s recommendation of approval to the University’s Learning, Teaching and Quality Committeeof the delivery of the above module(s) from **XXXX**.  Approval period is subject to the revalidation schedule of the parent course, meaning that the module will be due for a revalidation at the same time with the course it belongs to.  *For outcome B:*  The process concluded with the Panel’s recommendation of approval to the University’s Learning, Teaching and Quality Committeeof the delivery of the above module(s) from **XXXX**, subject to the successful completion of the conditions outlined below. Revised documentation and a statement outlining where changes have been made in light of the conditions should be submitted to the Secretary by **XXXX.**  Approval period is subject to the revalidation schedule of the parent course, meaning that the module will be due for a revalidation at the same time with the course it belongs to.  *For outcome C:*  The Panel had serious concerns with the quality and/or viability of the module(s) therefore the Panel will not recommend the module(s) for approval.  The Course Team must re-visit the business case and re-submit it to the University’s Academic Portfolio Committee for approval. | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section 4** | **Actions required** |
| **State all actions that have emerged from the validation:** | |